

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/018,538	03/11/2002	Timothy Hugh Norman	RED-67	7908
20311	7590 - 09/06/2005		EXAM	INER
LUCAS & MERCANTI, LLP			PRITCHETT, JOSHUA L	
475 PARK A'	VENUE SOUTH		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK,			2872	

DATE MAILED: 09/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/018,538	NORMAN, TIMOTHY HUGH
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Joshua L. Pritchett	2872
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re t. riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON latute, cause the application to become AB.	CATION.  pply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{0}$	11 August 2005.	
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for all	•	• •
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 18-31 is/are pending in the applic	ation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-31</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	. 1/	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar	niner.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 March 2002</u> is/a	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ obj	ected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	, = :	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	I Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for form a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received in A	pplication No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•	received in this National Stage
application from the International Bu		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.
Attachment(s)		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>		summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	′	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-, —	<del>_</del>

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is in response to Re Amendment filed August 1, 2005. Claim 18 has been amended as requested by the applicant.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 18-22 and 24-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lang (US 5,604,644) in view of Repay (US 3,972,597).

Regarding claim 18, Lang '644 teaches a vehicle rear view mirror (Fig. 1) comprising a housing (2) and a mirror lens (11) carried by a location frame (12) rigidly mounted within and directly connected to the housing (Fig. 1), the frame and/or housing being made from a resilient material (col. 2 lines 44-57)) and the frame being a resilient snap-fit (Fig. 1; col. 2 lines 44-57) within the housing characterized in that the housing has a rim portion (at 21) within the frame is located. The nature of a snap-fit connection requires that the connection means have some resiliency to be able to bend elastically and spring back into place to create the snap-fit. Lang

'644 lacks reference to the frame and mirror being wholly located without extending over the outer edges of the rim portion and the frame is attached in a fixed position. Repay teaches a mirror (24) with a frame (25) wholly located within a rim portion of a housing (22; Fig. 2) and the frame being in a fixed position (through vibration damper, 38). The definition of fix according to Merriam-Webster's Collegiate Dictionary Tenth Edition is, "to make firm, stable, or stationary" (emphasis added). The purpose of the vibration damper (38) is to make the mirror frame stable so as to not allow the mirror to vibration thus distorting the reflected image viewed by the driver. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the Lang '644 invention have the frame and mirror located wholly inside the housing and in a fixed position as taught by Repay for the purpose of shielding the frame from the weather to increase the usable life span of the rear view mirror and allowing the driver to observe an image with as little distortion as possible.

Regarding claim 19, Lang '644 teaches the housing is provided with frame retainment means (21) and the frame is provided with housing engagement means (20).

Regarding claim 20, Lang '644 teaches the frame retainment means comprises one or more catches (21) and the housing engagement means comprises one or more abutments (20) which co-operated with the catches (Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 21, Lang '644 teaches the frame is provided with lens engagement means (13).

Regarding claim 22, Lang '644 teaches the lens engagement means comprises one or more abutments (13) adapted to the lens.

Application/Control Number: 10/018,538

Art Unit: 2872

Regarding claim 24, Lang '644 teaches the frame is made from a resilient synthetic plastics material (col. 1 line 16).

Regarding claim 25, Lang '644 teaches the housing comprises a casing (2) adapted to cover the rear face of the lens (Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 26, Lang '644 teaches the lens is convex (Fig. 1).

Regarding claims 27 and 28, Lang '644 teaches the lens is of a substrate on which reflective surface may be deposited; specifically glass (col. 2 line 31).

Regarding claim 29, Lang '644 teaches the frame is provided with slots (23) at each corner to provide further resilience to the frame.

Regarding claim 30, Lang '644 teaches the housing and the frame are releasably detachable (col. 1 lines 56-60).

Regarding claim 31, Lang '644 teaches the housing is provided with means to receive a mounting attached to a vehicle (3).

Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lang (US 5,604,644) in view of Repay (US 3,972,597) as applied to claim 21 above, and further in view of Grissen (US 4,826,306).

Lang '644 in combination with Repay teaches the invention as claimed but lacks reference to the use of a lens being a resilient snap-fit into the frame. Grissen teaches a vehicle rear view mirror (11) with a lens (12) that is a resilient snap-fit (Fig. 2) in a frame (21). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to

Art Unit: 2872

have the Lang '644 invention include the snap-fit lens of Grissen for the purpose of eliminating the need for gluing the lens into the frame.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see Amendment, filed August 1, 2005, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) claim 18 under Lang '644 in view of Lang '035 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration of the newly amended claim limitations, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Lang '644 in view of Repay. The applicant argued that the prior art failed to teach a mirror frame that was both wholly within the rim portion of the housing member and in a fixed position. The newly applied reference, Repay, is used to teach these newly added limitations. The examiner relies on the definition of the word, "fix," from a dictionary to interpret the breadth of the new claim limitation.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Art Unit: 2872

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joshua L. Pritchett whose telephone number is 571-272-2318. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:00 - 3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew A. Dunn can be reached on 571-272-2312. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JLP

DREW A. DUNN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER